



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•			
APPLICATION NO.	. Fi	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,590		11/08/2001	Ian R. Davies	4430-30	4430-30 8551	
22442	7590	11/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SHERIDA		PC	SALL, EL HADJI MALICK			
1560 BRO	ADWAY					
SUITE 120	0			· , ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER, CO 80202			2157			
					_	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/045,590	DAVIES ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	El Hadji M. Sall	2157						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		<u>-</u>						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS		ما المحمد معالم الثان						
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>								
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		ll be entered and an o	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-42</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	ot be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ned.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The same arguments were presented in the previous communication. Explaination has been given in the final Office action.

(A) Applicant argues that Blumenau reference does not describe a method according to which a storage controller can obtain information for identifying a host from a network switch.

In regards to point (B), examiner respectfully disagrees.

Figure 1 (i.e. inherently communication session takes place between the storage subsystem and the hosts using a switch through data network 21).

Column 2, lines 59-65, Blue discloses the storage controller responds to the request for storage access by searching to find information identifying the host processor (i.e. inherently "the first storage controller access the host through the data network 21 via a switch, a router or a gateway).

(B) Applicant argues that Blumenau reference does not discuss determining first host identifying first information from a network transmission that is a result of a host bus scan.

In regards to point (C), examiner respectfully disagrees.

Column 2, lines 63-65, Blue discloses searching to find information identifying the host processor (i.e. bus scan for obtaining information identifying the first host);

Column 15, lines 16-35, Blue discloses the host controller port can transmit its corresponding group name to the storage subsystem during a login process or in response to a request from the storage subsystem in response to a state change notification so that the storage subsystem can reestablish the relationship of the host controller port's volume group name and volume list with respect to its new WWN and S\_ID (i.e. "determines first host identifying first information from a first of said network transmissions").

(C) Applicant argues that Blumenau reference does not discuss the collection of information related to a host from a network switch. In regards to point (C), examiner respectfully disagrees.

Features such as the collection of information related to a host from a network switch are not in the claims.